

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,787	06/19/2003	David Cappers Steere	50037.185US01 5952	
27488 MERCHANT 4	7590 08/22/2007 & GOULD (MICROSOFT)	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903	3	ANYA, CHARLES E		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

f1	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,787	STEERE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E. Anya	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ju</u>	une 2007.					
	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		· ·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	SUPERVISORY PAT 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(F10-413) ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-64 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-32,34-38,40-49 and 51-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,721,740 B1 to Skinner et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,553,412 B1 to Kloba et al.
- 3. As to claim 1, Kloba teaches computer-implemented method for a client to interact with a server (figure 3), the computer-implemented method comprising: creating a cached object from an original object ("... change is sent to those application components..." Col. 8 Ln. 30 32, Col. 13 Ln. 64 67), the original object being managed by the server ("... data object..." Col. 14 Ln. 21 29); wherein the client interacts with a plurality of cached objects that are created on the client from objects managed by the server (Client-side Application Logic and GUI Component 301A Col. 14 Ln. 49 60); establishing a notification bond with the server, the notification bond enabling the client to obtain a notification from the server in response to an object

related event associated with the original object ("...interest object..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 19, Col. 8 Ln. 23 – 29, "...observer list..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 – 23, Server Interest Registry 501/503 Col. 11 Ln. 5 – 13, figure 6 Col. 12 Ln. 56 – 67, Col. 13 Ln. 1 – 16); wherein each object includes a notification bond ("...interest object..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 – 65, Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 19, Col. 8 Ln. 23 – 29); and updating each of the cached objects with the original object after a change is made to the original object ("...update management component..." Col. 8 Ln. 33 – 43).

Skinner is silent with reference to the notification bond remaining persistent through a reboot of the client and server.

Kloba teaches the notification bond remaining persistent through a reboot of the client and server ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 - 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 - 28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Skinner with the teaching of Kloba because the teaching of Kloba would improve the system of Skinner by allowing data to be synchronizing by the specific process of copying, adding, filtering, removing, updating and merging information between a client and server (Kloba Col. 5 Ln. 55 – 59).

4. As to claim 2, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the object related event includes when the original object has been modified ("... update management component..." Col. 8 Ln. 33 – 43).

- 5. As to claim 3, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein establishing the notification bond is performed in response to creating the cached object ("... interest object..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 19, Col. 8 Ln. 23 29, "... observer list..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 23, Server Interest Registry 501/503 Col. 11 Ln. 5 13, figure 6 Col. 12 Ln. 56 67, Col. 13 Ln. 1 16).
- 6. As to claim 4, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising: obtaining a notification from the server; and updating the cached object using the notification ("...update management component..." Col. 8 Ln. 33 43).
- 7. As to claim 5, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein obtaining the notification includes retrieving a notification log containing the notification (LiveInterest 401 Col. 9 Ln. 31 36).
- 8. As to claim 6, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising: requesting a notification log containing a notification; and synchronizing the cache object with the original object using the notification ("...modification..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 65, "Update notification..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 11, Col. 10 Ln. 25 47, Col. 11 Ln. 5 30).

Kloba teaches reconnecting with the server after a disconnected period of time ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 – 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 – 28).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,787

Art Unit: 2194

9. As to claim 7, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising maintaining states associated with the notification bond ("...registry..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 24, Col. 10 Ln. 25 – 47, Col. 8 Ln. 23 – 43, "... server interest registry... interest object..." Col. 11 Ln. 5 – 45).

Page 5

- 10. As to claim 8, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein the states are maintained in a persistent medium ("...registry..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 24, Col. 8 Ln. 23 43, Col. 10 Ln. 25 47, "...server interest registry...interest object..." Col. 11 Ln. 5 45).
- 11. As to claim 9, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 8, wherein the states include a bond number that uniquely identifies the notification bond ("... unique identifier... object ID or serial number..." Col. 8 Ln. 52 59).
- 12. As to claim 10, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 8, wherein the states include a aggregate bond number that is unique to the client ("... set of unique object identifiers..." Col. 8 Ln. 52 58).
- 13. As to claim 11, Kloba teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 8, further comprising reestablishing the states on the client after a restart ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 28).

- 14. As to claim 12, Kloba teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 11, further comprising synchronizing the states on the client and corresponding states on the server ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 28).
- 15. As to claims 13,19,40 see the rejection of claim 1 above.
- 16. As to claim 14, see the rejection of claim 2 above.
- 17. As to claim 15, see the rejection of claim 3 above.
- 18. As to claim 16, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 13, further comprising: determining an object related event that was not caused by the client ("...received from...clients ...or...servers..." Col. 17 Ln. 14 18); creating a notification in accordance with the notification bond; and providing the notification to the client ("... interest registry is traversed to determine those application components that have registered..." Col. 8 Ln. 23 43, "...notification is passed to each interest object registered..." Col. 11 Ln. 1 26).
- 19. As to claim 17, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 13, further comprising: determining an object related event that was not caused by the client ("...received from...clients ...or...servers..." Col. 17 Ln. 14 18); creating a notification

in accordance with the notification bond (Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 11, "... interest registry is traversed to determine those application components that have registered..." Col. 8 Ln. 23 – 43, "... notification is passed to each interest object registered..." Col. 11 Ln. 1 – 26) and recording the notification in a notification log (LiveCollectedUpdates 402 Col. 9 Ln. 38 – 55, LiveCollectedUpdates Object 512 Col. 12 Ln. 25 – 42).

- 20. As to claim 18, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 17, further comprising: establishing a connection with the client; and sending the notification log to the client (Col. 14 Ln. 1 7, Client-Side Communication Management Components 305A/Server-Side Communication Management Components 305B Col. 16 Ln. 21 65).
- 21. As to claim 20, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, wherein the bond manager is configured to provide notifications to the clients in accordance with the notification bonds (Col. 3 Ln. 1 11, "...interest registry is traversed to determine those application components that have registered..." Col. 8 Ln. 23 43, "...notification is passed to each interest object registered..." Col. 11 Ln. 1 26).
- 22. As to claim 21, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, wherein the server further comprises a file system manager configured to manage the original objects (Application Server 307 Col. 14 Ln. 21 31) and wherein the bond manager comprises a filter component configured to determine object related events by

monitoring communication traffic associated with the file system manager ("...update notifications are filtered..." Col. 10 Ln. 25 – 35).

- 23. As to claim 22, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, wherein the bond manager is configured to maintain a bond table and wherein the bond table includes states that relate each notification bond with an original object and a client to whom the notification is to be provided ("... registry..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 24, "... interest registry..." Col. 8 Ln. 23 43, Col. 10 Ln. 25 47, "... server interest registry..." Col. 11 Ln. 5 45).
- 24. As to claim 23, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, wherein the bond manager is configured to maintain a notification log and wherein the notification log includes notifications for the client (LiveCollectedUpdates Object 512 Col. 12 Ln. 25 42, Update Management Component 304A Col. 15 Ln. 35 49).
- 25. As to claim 24, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, further comprising: a client configured to create a cached object associated with an original object managed by the server (Client-Side Cache Component 303A Col. 15 Ln. 20 27), the client including a notification handler configured to maintain a notification bond associated with the original object in conjunction with the server (Update Management Component 304A Col. 15 Ln. 35 49).

- 26. As to claim 25, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, wherein the notification handler is configured to obtain from the server a notification log associated with the notification bond and to update the cached object in accordance with the notification log ("... update management components..." Col. 14 Ln. 1-7, Update Management Component 304A Col. 15 Ln. 35-49).
- As to claim 26, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 25, wherein the notification log includes notifications associated with a plurality of notification bonds (LiveCollectedUpdates 402 Col. 9 Ln. 38 55, LiveCollectedUpdates Object 512 Col. 12 Ln. 25 42).
- 28. As to claim 27, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 19, wherein the notification handler is configured to maintain a bond table and wherein the bond table includes states that relate each notification bond with a cached object and a server that manages an original object corresponding to the cached object ("...registry..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 24, "...interest registry..." Col. 8 Ln. 23 43, Col. 10 Ln. 25 47, "...server interest registry..." Col. 11 Ln. 5 45).
- 29. As to claim 28, Skinner teaches a computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure, comprising: a first indexing data field containing object identifiers, each object identifier uniquely identifying an object that is managed by a server ("...data object...identity..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 65, "...object ID or serial number..." Col. 8 Ln. 52 –

67, Col. 17 Ln. 41 - 47); and a second data field containing entries, each entry being indexed to an object identifier in the first indexing data field and containing states associated with a notification bond between the server and a client that caches the object identified by the object identifier ("... interest object..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 - 65, "... interest..." Col. 8 Ln. 52 - 67); wherein the first field and the second data field are created by the server and wherein the server accesses the first indexing data field and the second data field to determine what objects or the client require notification ("... update notification..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 - 65).

Skinner is silent with reference to a notification bond that remains persistent through a reboot.

Kloba teaches a notification bond that remains persistent through a reboot ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 – 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 – 28).

- 30. As to claim 29, Skinner teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 28, wherein each object identifier in the first indexing data field includes a file path associated with an object ("... data object...identity..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 65, "... object ID or serial number..." Col. 8 Ln. 52 67, Col. 17 Ln. 41 47).
- 31. As to claim 30, Skinner teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 29, wherein each object identifier includes a hash of the file path ("...hash table..." Col. 17 Ln. 41 47).

- 32. As to claim 31, Skinner teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 28, wherein each entry of the second data field includes a bond number that uniquely identifies a notification bond ("...interest object..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 65).
- 33. As to claim 32, Skinner teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 28, wherein each entry of the second data field includes a client identifier that identifies a client associated with a notification bond ("...interest object..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 65).
- 34. As to claims 34 and 35, see the rejection of claims 28 and 31 respectively.
- 35. As to claim 36, Skinner teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 34, wherein each entry of the second data field includes an original object identifier that identifies an original object ("...data object...identity..." Col. 2 Ln. 54 65, "...object ID or serial number..." Col. 8 Ln. 52 67, Col. 17 Ln. 41 47).
- 36. As to claim 37, Skinner teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 34, wherein each entry of the second data field includes a cached object identifier that identifies a cached object associated with an original object (Col. 15 Ln. 41 48).
- 37. As to claim 38, see the rejection of claim 10 above.

- 38. As to claim 41, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for obtaining a notification from the server (Col. 8 Ln. 23 43, Col. 9 Ln. 56 62, "... transmission of an update notification..." Col. 10 Ln. 35 39, Col. 11 Ln. 18 26, Col. 1 5, Col. 14 Ln. 1 7); and means for updating the cached object using the notification (Col. 14 Ln. 1 7, Col. 15 Ln. 20 27, Col. 16 Ln. 5 20).
- 39. As to claim 42, Skinnner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for requesting a notification log containing a notification (LiveCollectedUpdates 402 Col. 9 Ln. 38 55, Col. 15 Ln. 20 27); and means for synchronizing the cache object using the notification ("Update notifications..." Col. 3 Ln. 7 11, Step 707 Col. 13 Ln. 35 39, "... updates..." Col. 16 Ln. 5 20).

Kloba teaches means for reconnecting with the server after a disconnected period of time ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 – 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 – 28).

40. As to claim 43, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for determining an object related event ("When modifications..." Col. 2 Ln. 61 – 65, Col. 8 Ln. 33 – 35, "When data object is changed..." Col. 11 Ln. 18 – 21); means for creating a notification in accordance with the notification bond (Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 11, "... interest registry is traversed to determine those application components that have registered..." Col. 8 Ln. 23 – 43, "... notification is passed to each interest object

registered..." Col. 11 Ln. 1 – 26); and means for providing the notification to the client (Col. 15 Ln. 20 - 27).

- 41. As to claim 44, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for determining an object related event ("When modifications..." Col. 2 Ln. 61 65, Col. 8 Ln. 33 35, "When data object is changed..." Col. 11 Ln. 18 21); means for creating a notification in accordance with the notification bond (Col. 8 Ln. 30 32, Col. 9 Ln. 26 37); and means for recording the notification in a notification log (LiveCollectedUpdates 402 Col. 9 Ln. 38 55, LiveCollectedUpdates Object 512 Col. 12 Ln. 25 42).
- 42. As to claim 45, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for establishing a connection with the client; and means for sending the client the notification log ("...transmitted..." Col. 14 Ln. 1 7, Client-Side Communication Management Components 305A/Server-Side Communication Management Components 305B Col. 16 Ln. 21 65).
- 43. As to claim 46, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for the client to drop the notification bond ("...deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 23).

- As to claim 47, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for the server to drop the notification bond ("... deleting observers..."

 Col. 9 Ln: 20 23).
- 45. As to claim 48, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 40, further comprising: means for the server to drop all notification bonds associated with the client ("...deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 23).
- 46. As to claim 49, Skinner teaches the distributed file system of claim 48, further comprising: means for the server to reset clear states associated with the dropped notification bonds ("... setting of a "changed" flag..." Col. 11 Ln. 31 40).
- 47. As to claim 51, Skinner teaches a computer-implemented method for synchronizing cached objects maintained by a client with the corresponding original objects maintained by a server (figure 3), the computer-implemented method comprising: creating cached objects from original objects ("... change is sent to those application components..." Col. 8 Ln. 30 32); establishing a notification bond with the server for each of the cached objects, the notification bonds enabling the client to obtain a notification from the server in response to an object related event associated with the original object ("... interest object..." Col. 3 Ln. 1 19, Col. 8 Ln. 23 29, "... observer list..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 23, Server Interest Registry 501/503 Col. 11 Ln. 5 13, figure 6 Col. 12 Ln. 56 67, Col. 13 Ln. 1 16); wherein each object includes a notification

bond ("... interest object..." Col. 2 Ln. 54-65, Col. 3 Ln. 1-19, Col. 8 Ln. 23-29); persistently maintaining, by the server, server bond states related to the original objects, the server bond states corresponding to notification bonds associated with the original objects ("... interest object..." Col. 8 Ln. 23-29, "... observer list..." Col. 9 Ln. 20-23, Server Interest Registry 501/503 Col. 11 Ln. 5-13, figure 6 Col. 12 Ln. 56-67, Col. 13 Ln. 1-16), each notification bond enabling the client to obtain a notification from the server when at least one of the original object has been modified ("When a change is made... interest registry is traversed..." Col. 23-43); and persistently maintaining, by the client, client bond states corresponding to the server bond states ("... same as or similar to..." Col. 11 Ln. 5-11).

Kloba teaches the notification bond remaining persistent through a reboot of the client and server ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 - 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 - 28).

- 48. As to claim 52, Kloba teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 51, further comprising reestablishing the server bond states after a server reboot or restart ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 28).
- 49. As to claim 53, Kloba teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 52, further comprising recovering notifications associated with the notification bonds after a server reboot or restart ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 28).

- 50. As to claim 54, Kloba teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 51, further comprising reestablishing the client bond states after a client reboot or restart ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 28).
- 51. As to claim 55, Kloba teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 54, further comprising recovering notifications associated with the notification bonds after a server reboot or restart ("... sync operation..." Col. 5 Ln. 36 59, Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9 28).
- 52. As to claim 56, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 51, further comprising determining, by the server, to drop a notification bond ("...refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "...deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "...successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55); performing, by the server, an operation to drop the notification bond ("...refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "...deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "...successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55); and providing, by the server, a notification to the client for dropping the notification bond ("...receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).
- 53. As to claim 57, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 56, wherein performing the operation commits the server to dropping the notification bond

("...refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 – 16, "...deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 – 40, "...successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 – 55).

- 54. As to claim 58, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 57, wherein performing the operation is completed before providing the notification to the client ("... receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).
- As to claim 59, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 51, further comprising determining, by the server, to drop all notification bonds associated with the client ("... refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "... deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "... successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55); performing, by the server, an operation to drop the notification bonds ("... refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "... deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "... successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55); and providing, by the server, a notification to the client for dropping the notification bonds ("... receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).
- 56. As to claim 60, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 59, wherein performing the operation commits the server to dropping the notification bonds ("...refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "...deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "... successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55).

- 57. As to claim 61, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 60, wherein performing the operation is completed before providing the notification to the client ("...receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).
- As to claim 62, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 51, further comprising determining, by the client, to drop a notification bond ("...refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "... deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "... successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55); performing, by the client, an operation to drop the notification bond ("... refined..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 16, "... deleting observers..." Col. 9 Ln. 20 22, Col. 11 Ln. 31 40, "... successive refinement..." Col. 12 Ln. 44 55); and requesting the server to drop the notification bond ("... receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).
- 59. As to claim 63, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 62, wherein performing the operation commits the client to dropping the notification bond ("...receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).
- 60. As to claim 64, Skinner teaches the computer-implemented method of claim 63, wherein performing the operation is completed before requesting the server to drop the notification bond ("...receive notifications..." Col. 10 Ln. 8 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,787

Art Unit: 2194

61. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,721,740 B1 to Skinner et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,553,412 B1 to Kloba et al., as applied to claims 1,40 or 51 above and further in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0051068 A1 to Eldridge et al.

62. As to claim 33, Kloba and Skinner are silent with reference to the computerreadable medium of Claim 28, wherein each entry of the second data field includes a type identifier that identifies a type associated with a notification bond.

Eldridge teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 28, wherein each entry of the second data field includes a type identifier that identifies a type associated with a notification bond ("...notification type..." page 4 paragraph 0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kloba and Skinner with the teaching of Eldridge because the teaching of Eldridge would improve the system of Kloba and Skinner by providing a technique for data synchronization among processes that allow for a particular type of notification (Eldridge page 4 paragraph 0052).

63. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,721,740 B1 to Skinner et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,553,412 B1 to Kloba et al., as applied to claims 1,40 or 51 above and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,941,326 B2 to Kadyk et al.

Page 20

Art Unit: 2194

64. As to claim 39, Kloba and Skinner are silent with reference to the computerreadable medium of Claim 34, further comprising a third data field that includes a notification log offset.

Kadyk teaches the computer-readable medium of Claim 34, further comprising a third data field that includes a notification log offset ("...token..." Col. 9 Ln. 6 – 63, Col. 12 Ln. 1 – 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kloba and Skinner with the teaching of Kadyk because the teaching of Kadyk would improve the system of Kloba and Skinner by providing a technique for promptly identifying the starting point for a next data synchronization (Kadyk Col. 9 Ln. 62 - 63).

- 65. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,553,412 B1 to Kloba et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,099,926 B1 to Ims et al.
- 66. As to claim 50, Kloba teaches a computer-implemented method for maintaining cached objects that correspond to original objects managed by a server ("...local copy..." Col. 3 Ln. 49 58), the computer-implemented method comprising: creating cached objects from original objects (Caching Objects on Clients for Off-Line Browsing..." Col. 14 Ln. 6 17, "...cached..." Col. 15 Ln. 44 46, "...cache web pages..." Col. 34 Ln. 18 26); establishing a notification bond with the server for each of

the cached objects, the notification bonds enabling the client to obtain a notification from the server in response to an object related event associated with the original object; wherein the notification bond remains persistent through a reboot of the client and server (Notification Module 132 Col. 10 Ln. 9-28); obtaining notifications from the server about changes made to at least one of the original objects during the period of time (Step 160C Col. 14 Ln. 38-44); and synchronizing a cache object corresponding to the at least one original object using the notifications, without synchronizing all of the cached objects ("... synchronization process..." Col. 15-25, Step 160D Col. 14 Ln. 44-47, Col. 14 Ln. 55-62).

Kloba is silent with reference to each object includes a notification bond and reestablishing a communication link between the client and the server after a period of time without a communication link.

Ims teaches each object includes a notification bond ("...creates and registers objects..." Col. 20 Ln. 30 –35) and reestablishing a communication link between the client and the server after a period of time without a communication link ("...refresh upon connection..." Col. 20 Ln. 35 – 42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kloba with the teaching of Ims because the teaching of Ims would improve the system of Kloba by providing a technique for asynchronously synchronizing or refreshing data object after a reconnection between a client and a server (Ims Col. 5 Ln. 32 – 50).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-64 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Anya whose telephone number is (571) 272-3757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/600,787

Art Unit: 2194

The Control Hambon. To root, r

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles E Anya Examiner

Art Unit 2194

cea.

WILLIAM THOMSON WILLIAM THOMSON PATENT EXAMINER

Page 23

SUBERVISORY PATE